# Lecture 10: Bayes Nets: Probabilistic Models

#### Shuai Li

John Hopcroft Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

https://shuaili8.github.io

https://shuaili8.github.io/Teaching/CS3317/index.html

Part of slide credits: CMU AI & http://ai.berkeley.edu

## Background Part

# Probability



#### Random Variables

- A random variable is some aspect of the world about which we (may) have uncertainty
  - R = Is it raining?
  - T = Is it hot or cold?
  - D = How long will it take to drive to work?
  - L = Where is the ghost?
- We denote random variables with capital letters
- Like variables in a CSP, random variables have domains
  - R in {true, false} (often write as {+r, -r})
  - T in {hot, cold}
  - D in [0, ∞)
  - L in possible locations, maybe {(0,0), (0,1), ...}



### Probability Distributions

- Associate a probability with each value
  - Temperature:



| P(T) |     |
|------|-----|
| Т    | Р   |
| hot  | 0.5 |
| cold | 0.5 |

Weather:



| $P(\mathbf{I}$ | W) |
|----------------|----|
|----------------|----|

| W      | Р   |
|--------|-----|
| sun    | 0.6 |
| rain   | 0.1 |
| fog    | 0.3 |
| meteor | 0.0 |

## Probability Distributions 2

• Unobserved random variables have distributions P(T) = P(W)

| - (- ) |     |
|--------|-----|
| Т      | Р   |
| hot    | 0.5 |
| cold   | 0.5 |

| 1 (11) |     |
|--------|-----|
| W      | Р   |
| sun    | 0.6 |
| rain   | 0.1 |
| fog    | 0.3 |
| meteor | 0.0 |

- A distribution is a TABLE of probabilities of values
- A probability (lower case value) is a single number

$$P(W = rain) = 0.1$$

• Must have:  $\forall x \ P(X = x) \ge 0$  and  $\sum_{x} P(X = x) = 1$ 

Shorthand notation: P(hot) = P(T = hot), P(cold) = P(T = cold), P(rain) = P(W = rain),....

OK *if* all domain entries are unique

#### Joint Distributions

• A *joint distribution* over a set of random variables:  $X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n$ specifies a real number for each assignment (or *outcome*):

$$P(X_1 = x_1, X_2 = x_2, \dots, X_n = x_n)$$

$$P(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n)$$

• Must obey: 
$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) \geq 0$$
  
 $\sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)} P(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n) = 1$ 

- Size of distribution if n variables with domain sizes d?
  - For all but the smallest distributions, impractical to write out!

P(T,W)

| Т    | W    | Р   |
|------|------|-----|
| hot  | sun  | 0.4 |
| hot  | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun  | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

### Probabilistic Models

- A probabilistic model is a joint distribution over a set of random variables
- Probabilistic models:
  - (Random) variables with domains
  - Assignments are called *outcomes*
  - Joint distributions: say whether assignments (outcomes) are likely
  - *Normalized:* sum to 1.0
  - Ideally: only certain variables directly interact
- Constraint satisfaction problems:
  - Variables with domains
  - Constraints: state whether assignments are possible
  - Ideally: only certain variables directly interact

| Т    | W    | Р   |
|------|------|-----|
| hot  | sun  | 0.4 |
| hot  | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun  | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

Distribution over T,W

#### Constraint over T,W

| Т    | W    | Р |
|------|------|---|
| hot  | sun  | Т |
| hot  | rain | F |
| cold | sun  | F |
| cold | rain | Т |





#### Events

• An *event* is a set E of outcomes

$$P(E) = \sum_{(x_1 \dots x_n) \in E} P(x_1 \dots x_n)$$

- From a joint distribution, we can calculate the probability of any event
  - Probability that it's hot AND sunny?
  - Probability that it's hot?
  - Probability that it's hot OR sunny?
- Typically, the events we care about are partial assignments, like P(T=hot)

P(T, W)

| Т    | W    | Р   |
|------|------|-----|
| hot  | sun  | 0.4 |
| hot  | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun  | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

#### Quiz: Events

• P(+x, +y) ?

• P(+x) ?

P(X,Y)

| Х  | Y  | Р   |
|----|----|-----|
| +x | +y | 0.2 |
| +x | -у | 0.3 |
| -X | +у | 0.4 |
| -X | -у | 0.1 |

• P(-y OR +x) ?

#### Quiz: Events 2

• P(+x, +y) ?

.2

• P(+x) ?

.2+.3=.5

• P(-y OR +x) ?

.1+.3+.2=.6

#### P(X,Y)

| Х  | Y  | Р   |
|----|----|-----|
| +x | +y | 0.2 |
| +x | -у | 0.3 |
| -X | +y | 0.4 |
| -X | -у | 0.1 |

### Marginal Distributions

Marginal distributions are sub-tables which eliminate variables



• Marginalization (summing out): Combine collapsed rows by adding \$P(T)\$



#### Quiz: Marginal Distributions

Х

+X

+X

-X

-X

Y

+y

-y

+y

-y





#### Quiz: Marginal Distributions 2

Х

+X

+X

-X

-X

Y

+y

-Y

+y

-y





#### **Conditional Probabilities**

- A simple relation between joint and conditional probabilities
  - In fact, this is taken as the *definition* of a conditional probability



#### Quiz: Conditional Probabilities

• P(+x | +y) ?

P(X,Y)

• P(-x | +y) ?

| Х  | Y  | Р   |
|----|----|-----|
| +x | +у | 0.2 |
| +x | -у | 0.3 |
| -X | +у | 0.4 |
| -x | -у | 0.1 |

• P(-y | +x) ?

#### Quiz: Conditional Probabilities 2

| • P(+x   +y) ? | <   +y) ? |  | P(X,Y) |    |     |
|----------------|-----------|--|--------|----|-----|
|                | .2/.6=1/3 |  | Х      | Y  | Р   |
| • P(-x   +y) ? |           |  | +x     | +y | 0.2 |
|                |           |  | +x     | -y | 0.3 |
|                | .4/.6=2/3 |  | -X     | +y | 0.4 |
|                | . ,       |  | -X     | -у | 0.1 |

• P(-y | +x) ?

.3/.5=.6

#### **Conditional Distributions**

 Conditional distributions are probability distributions over some variables given fixed values of others

**Conditional Distributions** 



P(W|T)

|     |     | ! |       |  |
|-----|-----|---|-------|--|
| P(W | Z T | = | cold) |  |

| W    | Р   |
|------|-----|
| sun  | 0.4 |
| rain | 0.6 |

Joint Distribution

| P(T,   | W) |
|--------|----|
| - (- ) |    |

| Т    | W    | Р   |
|------|------|-----|
| hot  | sun  | 0.4 |
| hot  | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun  | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

#### Normalization Trick

$$P(W = s|T = c) = \frac{P(W = s, T = c)}{P(T = c)}$$
  
=  $\frac{P(W = s, T = c)}{P(W = s, T = c) + P(W = r, T = c)}$   
=  $\frac{0.2}{0.2 + 0.3} = 0.4$   
$$P(W|T = c)$$
  
W P  
sun 0.4

P(T, W)

| Т    | W    | Р   |
|------|------|-----|
| hot  | sun  | 0.4 |
| hot  | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun  | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

$$P(W = r | T = c) = \frac{P(W = r, T = c)}{P(T = c)}$$
  
=  $\frac{P(W = r, T = c)}{P(W = s, T = c) + P(W = r, T = c)}$   
=  $\frac{0.3}{0.2 + 0.3} = 0.6$ 

0.6

sun

rain

#### Normalization Trick 2

$$P(W = s|T = c) = \frac{P(W = s, T = c)}{P(T = c)}$$
  
= 
$$\frac{P(W = s, T = c)}{P(W = s, T = c) + P(W = r, T = c)}$$
  
= 
$$\frac{0.2}{0.2 + 0.3} = 0.4$$



$$P(W = r|T = c) = \frac{P(W = r, T = c)}{P(T = c)}$$
$$= \frac{P(W = r, T = c)}{P(W = s, T = c) + P(W = r, T = c)}$$
$$= \frac{0.3}{0.2 + 0.3} = 0.6$$

#### Normalization Trick 3



• Why does this work? Sum of selection is P(evidence)! (P(T=c), here)  $P(x_1|x_2) = \frac{P(x_1, x_2)}{P(x_2)} = \frac{P(x_1, x_2)}{\sum_{x_1} P(x_1, x_2)}$ 

21

#### Quiz: Normalization Trick

• P(X | Y=-y) ?



| Х  | Y  | Р   |
|----|----|-----|
| +x | +y | 0.2 |
| +x | -у | 0.3 |
| -x | +y | 0.4 |
| -X | -у | 0.1 |

SELECT the joint probabilities matching the evidence

#### NORMALIZE the

selection (make it sum to one)



### Quiz: Normalization Trick 2

• P(X | Y=-y) ?





### To Normalize

• (Dictionary) To bring or restore to a normal condition

- Procedure:
  - Step 1: Compute Z = sum over all entries
  - Step 2: Divide every entry by Z
- Example 1

| W    | Р   | Normalize         | W    | Р   |
|------|-----|-------------------|------|-----|
| sun  | 0.2 | $\longrightarrow$ | sun  | 0.4 |
| rain | 0.3 | Z = 0.5           | rain | 0.6 |







#### All entries sum to ONE

• Example 2

## Probabilistic Inference



• P(W)?

| S      | Т    | W    | Р    |
|--------|------|------|------|
| summer | hot  | sun  | 0.30 |
| summer | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun  | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot  | sun  | 0.10 |
| winter | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun  | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

• P(W)?

P(sun)=.3+.1+.1+.15=.65

| S      | Т    | W    | Р    |
|--------|------|------|------|
| summer | hot  | sun  | 0.30 |
| summer | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun  | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot  | sun  | 0.10 |
| winter | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun  | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

#### • P(W)?

P(sun)=.3+.1+.1+.15=.65 P(rain)=1-.65=.35

| S      | Т    | W    | Р    |
|--------|------|------|------|
| summer | hot  | sun  | 0.30 |
| summer | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun  | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot  | sun  | 0.10 |
| winter | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun  | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

• P(W | winter, hot)?

| S      | Т    | W    | Р    |
|--------|------|------|------|
| summer | hot  | sun  | 0.30 |
| summer | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun  | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot  | sun  | 0.10 |
| winter | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun  | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

#### • P(W | winter, hot)?

P(sun|winter,hot)  $\propto$  .1 P(rain|winter,hot)  $\propto$  .05

| S      | Т    | W    | Р    |
|--------|------|------|------|
| summer | hot  | sun  | 0.30 |
| summer | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun  | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot  | sun  | 0.10 |
| winter | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun  | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

#### • P(W | winter, hot)?

P(sun|winter,hot)  $\propto$  .1 P(rain|winter,hot)  $\propto$  .05 P(sun|winter,hot)=2/3 P(rain|winter,hot)=1/3

| S      | Т    | W    | Р    |
|--------|------|------|------|
| summer | hot  | sun  | 0.30 |
| summer | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun  | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot  | sun  | 0.10 |
| winter | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun  | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

| S      | Т    | W    | Р    |
|--------|------|------|------|
| summer | hot  | sun  | 0.30 |
| summer | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun  | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot  | sun  | 0.10 |
| winter | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun  | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

• P(W | winter)?

| S      | Т    | W    | Р    |
|--------|------|------|------|
| summer | hot  | sun  | 0.30 |
| summer | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| summer | cold | sun  | 0.10 |
| summer | cold | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | hot  | sun  | 0.10 |
| winter | hot  | rain | 0.05 |
| winter | cold | sun  | 0.15 |
| winter | cold | rain | 0.20 |

#### • P(W | winter)?

 $P(sun | winter) \propto .1+.15=.25$   $P(rain | winter) \propto .05+.2=.25$  P(sun | winter)=.5P(rain | winter)=.5

## Main Part

## Inference in Ghostbusters

- A ghost is in the grid somewhere
- Sensor readings tell how close a square is to the ghost
  - On the ghost: red
  - 1 or 2 away: orange
  - 3 or 4 away: yellow
  - 5+ away: green
- Sensors are noisy, but we know P(Color | Distance)

| P(red   3) | P(orange   3) | P(yellow   3) | P(green   3) |
|------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| 0.05       | 0.15          | 0.5           | 0.3          |



#### Video of Demo Ghostbuster – No probability


### Uncertainty

- General situation:
  - Observed variables (evidence): Agent knows certain things about the state of the world (e.g., sensor readings or symptoms)
  - Unobserved variables: Agent needs to reason about other aspects (e.g. where an object is or what disease is present)
  - **Model**: Agent knows something about how the known variables relate to the unknown variables

• Probabilistic reasoning gives us a framework for managing our beliefs and knowledge







## Probabilistic Inference

- Probabilistic inference: compute a desired probability from other known probabilities (e.g. conditional from joint)
- We generally compute conditional probabilities
  - P(on time | no reported accidents) = 0.90
  - These represent the agent's *beliefs* given the evidence
- Probabilities change with new evidence:
  - P(on time | no accidents, 5 a.m.) = 0.95
  - P(on time | no accidents, 5 a.m., raining) = 0.80
  - Observing new evidence causes beliefs to be updated

## Inference by Enumeration

- General case:
  - Evidence variables:  $E_1 \dots E_k = e_1 \dots e_k$  Query\* variable: Q• Hidden variables:  $H_1 \dots H_r$   $X_1, X_2, \dots X_n$  All variables
- Step 1: Select the entries consistent with the evidence
- Step 2: Sum out H to get joint of Query and evidence



\* Works fine with multiple query variables, too

$$P(Q|e_1\ldots e_k)$$

Step 3: Normalize





$$P(Q, e_1 \dots e_k) = \sum_{h_1 \dots h_r} P(\underbrace{Q, h_1 \dots h_r, e_1 \dots e_k}_{X_1, X_2, \dots X_n})$$

$$\times \frac{1}{Z}$$

$$Z = \sum_{q} P(Q, e_1 \cdots e_k)$$
$$P(Q|e_1 \cdots e_k) = \frac{1}{Z} P(Q, e_1 \cdots e_k)$$

- Two tools to go from joint to query
- 1. Definition of conditional probability

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A,B)}{P(B)}$$

2. Law of total probability (marginalization, summing out)

$$P(A) = \sum_{b} P(A, b)$$

$$P(Y \mid U, V) = \sum_{x} \sum_{z} P(x, Y, z \mid U, V)$$

- Two tools to go from joint to query
- Joint:  $P(H_1, H_2, Q, E)$
- Query:  $P(Q \mid e)$
- 1. Definition of conditional probability

$$P(Q|e) = \frac{P(Q,e)}{P(e)}$$

2. Law of total probability (marginalization, summing out)

$$P(Q,e) = \sum_{h_1} \sum_{h_2} P(h_1, h_2, Q, e)$$

$$P(e) = \sum_{q} \sum_{h_1} \sum_{h_2} P(h_1, h_2, q, e)$$

Only need to compute P(Q, e) then normalize

• Joint distributions are the best!

Joint



- Joint distributions are the best!
- Problems with joints
  - We aren't given the joint table
  - Usually some set of conditional probability tables
- Problems with inference by enumeration
  - Worst-case time complexity O(d<sup>n</sup>)
  - Space complexity O(d<sup>n</sup>) to store the joint distribution



Joint

# Build Joint Distribution Using Chain Rule

#### The Product Rule

• Sometimes have conditional distributions but want the joint  $P(y)P(x|y) = P(x,y) \qquad \qquad P(x|y) = \frac{P(x,y)}{P(y)}$ 



#### The Product Rule 2

P(y)P(x|y) = P(x,y)

• Example:

| P(D  | W) |
|------|----|
| - (- |    |

P(D,W)

| P(W) |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| R    | Р   |  |
| sun  | 0.8 |  |
| rain | 0.2 |  |

| D   | W    | Р   |
|-----|------|-----|
| wet | sun  | 0.1 |
| dry | sun  | 0.9 |
| wet | rain | 0.7 |
| dry | rain | 0.3 |



| D   | W    | Р |
|-----|------|---|
| wet | sun  |   |
| dry | sun  |   |
| wet | rain |   |
| dry | rain |   |

### The Chain Rule

More generally, can always write any joint distribution as an incremental product of conditional distributions

 $P(x_1, x_2, x_3) = P(x_1)P(x_2|x_1)P(x_3|x_1, x_2)$ 

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_i P(x_i | x_1 \dots x_{i-1})$$

#### Build Joint Distribution Using Chain Rule



P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A,B) P(D|A,B,C) P(E|A,B,C,D)

## Build Joint Distribution Using Chain Rule 2

- Two tools to construct joint distribution
- 1. Product rule
- $P(A,B) = P(A \mid B)P(B)$
- $P(A,B) = P(B \mid A)P(A)$
- 2. Chain rule
- $P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) = \prod_i P(X_i \mid X_1, ..., X_{i-1})$
- P(A, B, C) = P(A)P(B | A)P(C | A, B) for ordering A, B, C
- P(A, B, C) = P(A)P(C | A)P(B | A, C) for ordering A, C, B
- P(A, B, C) = P(C)P(B | C)P(A | C, B) for ordering C, B, A

## Example

- Binary random variables
  - Fire
  - Smoke
  - Alarm



## Quiz

- Variables
  - B: Burglary
  - A: Alarm goes off
  - M: Mary calls
  - J: John calls
  - E: Earthquake!

#### How many different ways can we write the chain rule?

- *A.* 1
- *B.* 5
- *C.* 5 *choose* 5
- *D.* 5!
- *E.* 5<sup>5</sup>



## Answer Any Query from Conditional Probability Tables

- Process to go from (specific) conditional probability tables to query
- 1. Construct the joint distribution
  - 1. Product Rule or Chain Rule
- 2. Answer query from joint
  - 1. Definition of conditional probability
  - 2. Law of total probability (marginalization, summing out)

## Answer Any Query from Conditional Probability Tables 2

- Bayes' rule as an example
- Given: P(E|Q), P(Q) Query: P(Q | e)
- 1. Construct the joint distribution
  - 1. Product Rule or Chain Rule

P(E,Q) = P(E|Q)P(Q)

- 2. Answer query from joint
  - 1. Definition of conditional probability

$$P(Q \mid e) = \frac{P(e,Q)}{P(e)}$$

2. Law of total probability (marginalization, summing out)

$$P(Q \mid e) = \frac{P(e,Q)}{\sum_{q} P(e,q)}$$

Only need to compute P(e, Q) then normalize

# Bayesian Networks

#### Bayesian Networks

- One node per random variable, directed acyclic graph (DAG)
- One conditional probability table (CPT) per node: P(node | Parents(node) )

$$P(A, B, C, D) = P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A, B) P(D|A, B, C)$$

Encode joint distributions as product of conditional distributions on each variable

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \prod_i P(X_i | Parents(X_i))$$

**Bayes** net

## Build Bayes Net Using Chain Rule

- Binary random variables
  - Fire
  - Smoke
  - Alarm



## Build Bayes Net Using Chain Rule 2

- Variables
  - B: Burglary
  - A: Alarm goes off
  - M: Mary calls
  - J: John calls
  - E: Earthquake!





Given the Bayes net, write the joint distribution?

### Answer Any Query from Bayes Net



## Answer Any Query from Conditional Probability Tables



P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A,B) P(D|A,B,C) P(E|A,B,C,D)

## Answer Any Query from Conditional Probability Tables 2

Conditional Probability Tables and Chain Rule



#### • Problems

- Huge
  - *n* variables with *d* values
  - $d^n$  entries
- We aren't given the right tables

P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A,B) P(D|A,B,C) P(E|A,B,C,D)

## Do We Need the Full Chain Rule?

- Binary random variables
  - Fire
  - Smoke
  - Alarm



#### Answer Any Query from Conditional Probability Tables Bayes Net Joint









P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A) P(D|C) P(E|C)

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \prod_i P(X_i | Parents(X_i))$$

## Probabilistic Models

- Models describe how (a portion of) the world works
- Models are always simplifications
  - May not account for every variable
  - May not account for all interactions between variables
  - "All models are wrong; but some are useful."
    George E. P. Box
- What do we do with probabilistic models?
  - We (or our agents) need to reason about unknown variables, given evidence
  - Example: explanation (diagnostic reasoning)
  - Example: prediction (causal reasoning)
  - Example: value of information



## (General) Bayesian Networks

**Bayes net** 

- One node per random variable, DAG
- One conditional probability table (CPT) per node: P(node | *Parents*(node) )





P(A, B, C, D) = P(A) P(B) P(C|A, B) P(D|C)

Encode joint distributions as product of conditional distributions on each variable

$$P(X_1, \dots, X_N) = \prod_i P(X_i | Parents(X_i))$$

# Conditional Independence

## Independence

• Two variables are *independent* if:

 $\forall x, y : P(x, y) = P(x)P(y)$ 

- This says that their joint distribution *factors* into a product of two simpler distributions
- Another form:

$$\forall x, y : P(x|y) = P(x)$$

• We write:

$$X \! \perp \!\!\! \perp Y$$

- Independence is a simplifying *modeling assumption* 
  - *Empirical* joint distributions: at best "close" to independent
  - What could we assume for {Weather, Traffic, Cavity, Toothache}?



#### Example: Independence?

| P(T) |     |  |
|------|-----|--|
| Т    | Р   |  |
| hot  | 0.5 |  |
| cold | 0.5 |  |

P(W)

Ρ

0.6

0.4

W

sun

rain

| $P_1$ | (T, | W) |
|-------|-----|----|
|       |     |    |

| Т    | W    | Р   |
|------|------|-----|
| hot  | sun  | 0.4 |
| hot  | rain | 0.1 |
| cold | sun  | 0.2 |
| cold | rain | 0.3 |

| $P_2(T, V)$ | V) |
|-------------|----|
|-------------|----|

| Т    | W    | Р   |
|------|------|-----|
| hot  | sun  | 0.3 |
| hot  | rain | 0.2 |
| cold | sun  | 0.3 |
| cold | rain | 0.2 |

#### Example: Independence

• N fair, independent coin flips:









## Conditional Independence

- P(Toothache, Cavity, Catch)
- If I have a cavity, the probability that the probe catches in it doesn't depend on whether I have a toothache:
  - P(+catch | +toothache, +cavity) = P(+catch | +cavity)
- The same independence holds if I don't have a cavity:
  - P(+catch | +toothache, -cavity) = P(+catch | -cavity)
- Catch is *conditionally independent* of Toothache given Cavity:
  - P(Catch | Toothache, Cavity) = P(Catch | Cavity)
- Equivalent statements:
  - P(Toothache | Catch , Cavity) = P(Toothache | Cavity)
  - P(Toothache, Catch | Cavity) = P(Toothache | Cavity) P(Catch | Cavity)
  - One can be derived from the other easily



## Conditional Independence (cont.)

- P(Birds, Sunny, Sunglasses)
- If it is sunny, the probability that birds are out doesn't depend on whether you wear sunglasses:
  - P(+birds | +sunglasses, +sunny) = P(+birds | +sunny)
- The same independence holds if it isn't sunny:
  - P(+birds | +sunglasses, -sunny) = P(+birds | -sunny)
- Birds is *conditionally independent* of Sunglasses given Sunny:
  - P(Birds | Sunglasses, Sunny) = P(Birds | Sunny)



## Conditional Independence (cont.)

- Unconditional (absolute) independence very rare (why?)
- *Conditional independence* is our most basic and robust form of knowledge about uncertain environments.
- X is conditionally independent of Y given Z  $X \! \perp \!\!\!\perp Y | Z$

if and only if:

$$\forall x, y, z : P(x, y|z) = P(x|z)P(y|z)$$

or, equivalently, if and only if  $\forall x, y, z : P(x|z, y) = P(x|z)$ 

## Conditional Independence (cont.)

- Unconditional (absolute) independence very rare (why?)
- *Conditional independence* is our most basic and robust form of knowledge about uncertain environments.
- X is conditionally independent of Y given Z

or, equivalently, if and only if

$$X \! \perp \!\!\!\perp Y | Z$$

if and only if:  $\forall x, y, z : P(x, y|z) = P(x|z)P(y|z)$ 

 $\forall x, y, z : P(x|z, y) = P(x|z)$ 

$$P(x|z,y) = \frac{P(x,z,y)}{P(z,y)}$$
$$= \frac{P(x,y|z)P(z)}{P(y|z)P(z)}$$
$$= \frac{P(x|z)P(y|z)P(z)}{P(y|z)P(z)}$$
# Conditional Independence (cont.)

- What about this domain:
  - Traffic
  - Umbrella
  - Raining



# Conditional Independence (cont.)

- What about this domain:
  - Fire
  - Smoke
  - Alarm





## Conditional Independence and the Chain Rule

- Chain rule:  $P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n) = P(X_1)P(X_2|X_1)P(X_3|X_1, X_2)\dots$
- Trivial decomposition:
- P(Traffic, Rain, Umbrella) =
  - P(Rain)P(Traffic|Rain)P(Umbrella|Rain, Traffic)
  - With assumption of conditional independence:
  - P(Traffic, Rain, Umbrella) =

P(Rain)P(Traffic|Rain)P(Umbrella|Rain)

• Bayes'nets / graphical models help us express conditional independence assumptions



## Ghostbusters Chain Rule

- Each sensor depends only on where the ghost is
- That means, the two sensors are conditionally independent, given the ghost position
- T: Top square is red
   B: Bottom square is red
   G: Ghost is in the top
- Givens: P(+g) = 0.5 P(-g) = 0.5 P(+t | +g) = 0.8 P(+t | -g) = 0.4 P(+b | +g) = 0.4P(+b | -g) = 0.8



P(T,B,G) = P(G) P(T|G) P(B|G)





# Bayes' Nets



# Bayes' Nets: Big Picture

- Two problems with using full joint distribution tables as our probabilistic models:
  - Unless there are only a few variables, the joint is WAY too big to represent explicitly
  - Hard to learn (estimate) anything empirically about more than a few variables at a time
- Bayes' nets: a technique for describing complex joint distributions (models) using simple, local distributions (conditional probabilities)
  - More properly called graphical models
  - We describe how variables locally interact
  - Local interactions chain together to give global, indirect interactions
  - We first look at some examples





#### Example Bayes' Net: Insurance



#### Example Bayes' Net: Car



# Graphical Model Notation

- Nodes: variables (with domains)
  - Can be assigned (observed) or unassigned (unobserved)
- Arcs: interactions
  - Similar to CSP constraints
  - Indicate "direct influence" between variables
  - Formally: encode conditional independence (more later)
- For now: imagine that arrows mean direct causation (in general, they don't!)



## Example: Coin Flips

*X*<sub>2</sub>

• N independent coin flips

 $X_1$ 



• No interactions between variables: absolute independence

 $X_n$ 

# Example: Traffic

- Variables:
  - R: It rains
  - T: There is traffic
- Model 1: independence



Model 2: rain causes traffic





• Why is an agent using model 2 better?



# Example: Alarm Network

- Let's build a causal graphical model!
- Variables
  - B: Burglary
  - A: Alarm goes off
  - M: Mary calls
  - J: John calls
  - E: Earthquake!



### Example: Alarm Network 2

- Variables
  - B: Burglary
  - A: Alarm goes off
  - M: Mary calls
  - J: John calls
  - E: Earthquake!





#### Example: Humans

- G: human's goal / human's reward parameters
- S: state of the physical world
- A: human's action



# Example: Traffic II

- Variables
  - T: Traffic
  - R: It rains
  - L: Low pressure
  - D: Roof drips
  - B: Ballgame
  - C: Cavity



# **Bayes' Net Semantics**

- A set of nodes, one per variable X
- A directed, acyclic graph
- A conditional distribution for each node
  - A collection of distributions over X, one for each combination of parents' values  $P(X|a_1\ldots a_n)$
  - CPT: conditional probability table
  - Description of a noisy "causal" process

A Bayes net = Topology (graph) + Local Conditional Probabilities







#### Build Your Own Bygg Net

### Probabilities in BNs

- Bayes' nets implicitly encode joint distributions
  - As a product of local conditional distributions
  - To see what probability a BN gives to a full assignment, multiply all the relevant conditionals together:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | parents(X_i))$$

• Example:





P(+cavity, +catch, -toothache)

=P(-toothache|+cavity)P(+catch|+cavity)P(+cavity)



### Probabilities in BNs 2

• Why are we guaranteed that setting

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$$
  
results in a proper joint distribution?

- Chain rule (valid for all distributions):  $P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P(x_i | x_1 \dots x_{i-1})$
- <u>Assume</u> conditional independences:  $P(x_i|x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) = P(x_i|parents(X_i))$

→ Consequence:  

$$P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$$

- Not every BN can represent every joint distribution
  - The topology enforces certain conditional independencies

#### Example: Coin Flips



P(h, h, t, h) = P(h)P(h)P(t)P(h)

Only distributions whose variables are absolutely independent can be represented by a Bayes ' net with no arcs.

#### Example: Traffic



$$P(+r, -t) = P(+r)P(-t|+r) = (1/4) * (1/4)$$





#### Example: Traffic 2

Causal direction







P(T,R)

| +r | +t | 3/16 |
|----|----|------|
| +r | -t | 1/16 |
| -r | +t | 6/16 |
| -r | -t | 6/16 |

#### Example: Reverse Traffic

• Reverse causality?





P(T,R)

| +r | +t | 3/16 |
|----|----|------|
| +r | -t | 1/16 |
| -r | +t | 6/16 |
| -r | -t | 6/16 |

#### Example: Alarm Network

- Joint distribution factorization example
- Generic chain rule **B**urglary **E**arthquake •  $P(X_1 \dots X_2) = \prod_i P(X_i | X_1 \dots X_{i-1})$ P(B, E, A, J, M) = P(B) P(E|B) P(A|B, E) P(J|B, E, A) P(M|B, E, A, J)Alarm  $P(B, E, A, J, M) = P(B) P(E) \quad P(A|B, E) P(J|A) \qquad P(M|A)$ John Mary calls calls • Bayes nets •  $P(X_1 \dots X_2) = \prod_i P(X_i | Parents(X_i))$

#### Example: Alarm Network



| E  | P(E)  |
|----|-------|
| +e | 0.002 |
| -е | 0.998 |



| В  | Е  | Α  | P(A B,E) |
|----|----|----|----------|
| +b | +e | +a | 0.95     |
| +b | +e | -a | 0.05     |
| +b | -е | +a | 0.94     |
| +b | -е | -a | 0.06     |
| -b | +e | +a | 0.29     |
| -b | +e | -a | 0.71     |
| -b | -е | +a | 0.001    |
| -b | -е | -a | 0.999    |

P(M|A)P(J|A)P (A|B,E)



| В  | Е  | Α  | P(A B,E) |
|----|----|----|----------|
| +b | +e | +a | 0.95     |
| +b | +e | -a | 0.05     |
| +b | -е | +a | 0.94     |
| +b | -е | -a | 0.06     |
| -b | +e | +a | 0.29     |
| -b | +e | -a | 0.71     |
| -b | -е | +a | 0.001    |
| -b | -е | -a | 0.999    |

P(+b, -e, +a, -j, +m) =





| В  | E  | Α  | P(A B,E) |
|----|----|----|----------|
| +b | +e | +a | 0.95     |
| +b | +e | -a | 0.05     |
| +b | -е | +a | 0.94     |
| +b | -е | -a | 0.06     |
| -b | +e | +a | 0.29     |
| -b | +e | -a | 0.71     |
| -b | -е | +a | 0.001    |
| -b | -е | -a | 0.999    |



## Quiz 2

- Match the product of CPTs to the Bayes net.
  - $A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C$





• I. P(A) P(B|A) P(C|B) P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A)

P(A) P(B) P(C|A,B)

• ||. P(A) P(B|A) P(C|B) P(A) P(B|A) P(C|B) P(B|A) P(C|B)

P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A)

• |||.

 $P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A) \qquad P(A) P(B) P(C|A,B)$ 

 $P(A) P(B|A) P(\zeta_0|B)$ 

### Conditional Independence Semantics

- For the following Bayes nets, write the joint P(A, B, C)
  - 1. Using the chain rule (with top-down order A,B,C)
  - 2. Using Bayes net semantics (product of CPTs)





#### Conditional Independence Semantics 2

• For the following Bayes nets, write the joint P(A, B, C)

- 1. Using the chain rule (with top-down order A,B,C)
- 2. Using Bayes net semantics (product of CPTs)

```
A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C
```

P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A,B)

P(A) P(B|A) P(C|B)

Assumption: P(C|A, B) = P(C|B)C is independent from A given B

```
B C
P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A,B)
```

P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A)

Assumption: P(C|A, B) = P(C|A)C is independent from B given A P(A) P(B) P(C|A,B)

Assumption: P(B|A) = P(B)A is independent from B given { }

# Causal Chains

• This configuration is a "causal chain"



$$P(x, y, z) = P(x)P(y|x)P(z|y)$$

- Guaranteed X independent of Z ?
- No!
  - One example set of CPTs for which X is not independent of Z is sufficient to show this independence is not guaranteed.
  - Example:
    - Low pressure causes rain causes traffic, high pressure causes no rain causes no traffic
    - In numbers:

# Causal Chains 2

• This configuration is a "causal chain"



X: Low pressure

P(x, y, z) = P(x)P(y|x)P(z|y)

Guaranteed X independent of Z given Y?

$$P(z|x,y) = \frac{P(x,y,z)}{P(x,y)}$$
$$= \frac{P(x)P(y|x)P(z|y)}{P(x)P(y|x)}$$

= P(z|y)

#### Yes!

Evidence along the chain "blocks" the influence

### Common Causes

• This configuration is a "common cause"



- Guaranteed X independent of Z ?
- No!
  - One example set of CPTs for which X is not independent of Z is sufficient to show this independence is not guaranteed.
  - Example:
    - Project due causes both forums busy and lab full
    - In numbers:

### Common Cause 2

• This configuration is a "common cause"



P(x, y, z) = P(y)P(x|y)P(z|y)

Guaranteed X and Z independent given Y?

$$P(z|x,y) = \frac{P(x,y,z)}{P(x,y)}$$
$$= \frac{P(y)P(x|y)P(z|y)}{P(y)P(x|y)}$$

$$= P(z|y)$$

Yes!

 Observing the cause blocks influence between effects

### Common Effect

• Last configuration: two causes of one effect (v-structures)



#### • Are X and Y independent?

- Yes: the ballgame and the rain cause traffic, but they are not correlated
- Proof:

$$P(x, y) = \sum_{z} P(x, y, z)$$
$$= \sum_{z} P(x)P(y)P(z|x, y)$$
$$= P(x)P(y)\sum_{z} P(z|x, y)$$
$$= P(x)P(y)$$

# Common Effect 2

 Last configuration: two causes of one effect (v-structures)



#### • Are X and Y independent?

- Yes: the ballgame and the rain cause traffic, but they are not correlated
- (Proved previously)
- Are X and Y independent given Z?
  - No: seeing traffic puts the rain and the ballgame in competition as explanation.
- This is backwards from the other cases
  - Observing an effect activates influence between possible causes
### Causality?

- When Bayes' nets reflect the true causal patterns:
  - Often simpler (nodes have fewer parents)
  - Often easier to think about
  - Often easier to elicit from experts
- BNs need not actually be causal
  - Sometimes no causal net exists over the domain (especially if variables are missing)
  - E.g. consider the variables *Traffic* and *Drips*
  - End up with arrows that reflect correlation, not causation
- What do the arrows really mean?
  - Topology may happen to encode causal structure
  - Topology really encodes conditional independence  $P(x_i|x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}) = P(x_i|parents(X_i))$





# Bayes Nets: Independence

### Bayes Nets

- A Bayes net is an efficient encoding of a probabilistic model of a domain
- Questions we can ask:
  - Inference: given a fixed BN, what is P(X | e)?
  - Representation: given a BN graph, what kinds of distributions can it encode?
  - Modeling: what BN is most appropriate for a given domain?



### **Bayes Net Semantics**

- A directed, acyclic graph, one node per random variable
- A conditional probability table (CPT) for each node
  - A collection of distributions over X, one for each combination of parents' values

 $P(X|a_1\ldots a_n)$ 

- Bayes' nets implicitly encode joint distributions
  - As a product of local conditional distributions
  - To see what probability a BN gives to a full assignment, multiply all the relevant conditionals together:

$$P(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(x_i | parents(X_i))$$





## Size of a Bayes Net

 $2^{N}$ 

 How big is a joint distribution over N Boolean variables? Both give you the power to calculate

 $P(X_1, X_2, \ldots X_n)$ 

- BNs: Huge space savings!
- Also easier to elicit local CPTs
- How big is an N-node net if nodes have up to k parents?







### Bayes Nets: Assumptions

- Assumptions we are required to make to define the Bayes net when given the graph:  $P(x_i|x_1 \cdots x_{i-1}) = P(x_i|parents(X_i))$
- Beyond those "chain rule → Bayes net" conditional independence assumptions
  - Often additional conditional independences
  - They can be read off the graph
- Important for modeling: understand assumptions made when choosing a Bayes net graph





• Conditional independence assumptions directly from simplifications in chain rule:

$$P(x, y, z, w) = P(x)P(y|x)P(z|x, y)P(w|x, y, z)$$
$$= P(x)P(y|x)P(z|y)P(w|z)$$
$$X \perp L Z|Y \qquad W \perp \{X, Y\}|Z$$

• Additional implied conditional independence assumptions?

 $W \perp \!\!\!\perp X | Y$  How?

### Independence in a BN

- Important question about a BN:
  - Are two nodes independent given certain evidence?
  - If yes, can prove using algebra (tedious in general)
  - If no, can prove with a counter example
  - Example:



- Question: are X and Z necessarily independent?
  - Answer: no. Example: low pressure causes rain, which causes traffic.
  - X can influence Z, Z can influence X (via Y)
  - Addendum: they *could* be independent: how?

### The General Case



- General question: in a given BN, are two variables independent (given evidence)?
- Solution: analyze the graph
- Any complex example can be broken into repetitions of the three canonical cases



## Reachability

- Recipe: shade evidence nodes, look for paths in the resulting graph
- Attempt 1: if two nodes are connected by any undirected path not blocked by a shaded node, they are not conditionally independent
- Almost works, but not quite
  - Where does it break?
  - Answer: the v-structure at T doesn't count as a link in a path unless "active"



### Active / Inactive Paths

- Question: Are X and Y conditionally independent given evidence variables {Z}?
  - Yes, if X and Y "d-separated" by Z
  - Consider all (undirected) paths from X to Y
  - No active paths = independence!
- A path is active if each triple is active:
  - Causal chain A -> B -> C where B is unobserved (either direction)
  - Common cause A <- B -> C where B is unobserved
  - Common effect (aka v-structure)
    A -> B <- C where B or one of its descendants is observed</li>
- All it takes to block a path is a single inactive segment



## Bayes Ball / D-separation

• Question: Are X and Y conditionally independent given evidence variables {Z}?



• Shachter, Ross D. Serves-Ball: Rational Pastime (for Determining Irrelevance and Requisite Information in Belief Networks and Influence Diagrams)." *Proceedings of the Fourteenth conference on Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence.* 1998.



## Bayes Ball 2

- Question: Are X and Y conditionally independent given evidence variables {Z}?
  - 1. Shade in Z
  - 2. Drop a ball at X
  - 3. The ball can pass through any *active* path and is blocked by any *inactive* path (ball can move either direction on an edge)
  - 4. If the ball reaches Y, then X and Y are NOT conditionally independent given Z



### Bayes Ball 3

#### **Active Paths**







#### **Inactive Paths**







### More Variables

• Query: 
$$X_i \perp \perp X_j | \{X_{k_1}, ..., X_{k_n}\}$$
 ?

- Check all (undirected!) paths between  $X_i$  and  $X_j$ 
  - If one or more active, then independence not guaranteed

 $X_i \bowtie X_j | \{X_{k_1}, ..., X_{k_n}\}$ 

 Otherwise (i.e. if all paths are inactive), then independence is guaranteed

$$X_i \perp \perp X_j | \{X_{k_1}, \dots, X_{k_n}\}$$



 $\begin{array}{ll} R \bot B & \text{Yes} \\ R \bot B | T \\ R \bot B | T' \end{array}$ 







- Variables:
  - R: Raining
  - T: Traffic
  - D: Roof drips
  - S: I'm sad
- Questions:
  - $T \bot D$  $T \bot D | R \qquad Yes$  $T \bot D | R, S$



### Quiz

• Is  $X_1$  independent from  $X_6$  given  $X_2$ ?



# Quiz (cont.)

- Is  $X_1$  independent from  $X_6$  given  $X_2$ ?
- No, the Bayes ball can travel through  $X_3 \mod X_5$ .



### Quiz 2

• Is  $X_2$  independent from  $X_3$  given  $X_1$  and  $X_6$ ?



## Quiz 2 (cont.)

- Is  $X_2$  independent from  $X_3$  given  $X_1$  and  $X_6$ ?
- No, the Bayes ball can travel through  $X_5 X_1$  d  $X_6$ .



### Structure Implications

 Given a Bayes net structure, can run Bayes ball/d-separation algorithm to build a complete list of conditional independences that are necessarily true of the form

$$X_i \perp \!\!\!\perp X_j | \{ X_{k_1}, ..., X_{k_n} \}$$

• This list determines the set of probability distributions that can be represented





## **Topology Limits Distributions**

- Given some graph topology G, only certain joint distributions can be encoded
- The graph structure guarantees certain (conditional) independences
- (There might be more independence)
- Adding arcs increases the set of distributions, but has several costs
- Full conditioning can encode any distribution



### Bayes Nets Representation Summary

- Bayes nets compactly encode joint distributions (by making use of conditional independences!)
- Guaranteed independencies of distributions can be deduced from BN graph structure
- Bayes ball/D-separation gives precise conditional independence guarantees from graph alone
- A Bayes net's joint distribution may have further (conditional) independence that is not detectable until you inspect its specific distribution

## Summary

- Probability
  - Joint/marginal/conditional probabilities
- Answer any query from joint distributions
- Build Joint Distribution Using Chain Rule
- Bayes Nets
- Conditional independence, Semantics
- Causality
- Bayes nets independence, Bayes Nets Representation

### Shuai Li

https://shuaili8.github.io

# **Questions?**