Lecture 7: Reinforcement Learning

Shuai Li

John Hopcroft Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

https://shuaili8.github.io

https://shuaili8.github.io/Teaching/CS410/index.html

Part of slide credits: CMU AI & http://ai.berkeley.edu

1

Example: Double Bandits









3

No discount

Example: Double Bandits - Offline Planning



Example: Double Bandits - Let's Play!





\$2 \$2 \$0 \$2 \$2\$2 \$2 \$0 \$0 \$0

Example: Double Bandits - Online Planning

• Rules changed! Red's win chance is different.



Example: Double Bandits - Let's Play!





\$0 \$0 \$0 \$2 \$0 \$2 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

What Just Happened?

- That wasn't planning, it was learning!
 - Specifically, reinforcement learning
 - There was an MDP, but you couldn't solve it with just computation
 - You needed to actually act to figure it out
- Important ideas in reinforcement learning that came up
 - Exploration: you have to try unknown actions to get information
 - Exploitation: eventually, you have to use what you know
 - Regret: even if you learn intelligently, you make mistakes
 - Sampling: because of chance, you have to try things repeatedly
 - Difficulty: learning can be much harder than solving a known MDP



Reinforcement Learning

• What if we didn't know P(s'|s, a) and R(s, a, s')?

 $V_{k+1}(s) = \max_{a} \sum P(s'|s, a) [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s')],$ Value iteration: $\forall s$ $Q_{k+1}(s,a) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(s'|s,a) [R(s,a,s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s',a')], \quad \forall s,a$ Q-iteration: $\pi_V(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_a \sum P(s'|s, a) [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V(s')],$ Policy extraction: $\forall s$ $V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) = \sum P(s'|s, \pi(s)) [P(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')],$ Policy evaluation: $\forall s$ $\pi_{new}(s) = \operatorname{argmax}_{a} \sum P(s'|s, a) [R(s, a, s') + \gamma V^{\pi_{old}}(s')],$ **Policy improvement:** $\forall s$



Reinforcement Learning 3

- Still assume a Markov decision process (MDP):
 - A set of states $s \in S$
 - A set of actions (per state) A
 - A model T(s,a,s')
 - A reward function R(s,a,s')
- Still looking for a policy $\pi(s)$
- New twist: don't know T or R
 - I.e. we don't know which states are good or what the actions do
 - Must actually try actions and states out to learn



Offline (MDPs) vs. Online (RL)





Offline Solution

Online Learning



Initial



A Learning Trial



After Learning [1K Trials]



Initial

[Kohl and Stone, ICRA 2004]

[Video: AIBO WALK ¹⁴ – initial]





[Kohl and Stone, ICRA 2004]

[Video: AIBO WALK ¹⁵ + training]





[Kohl and Stone, ICRA 2004]



Example: The Crawler!



[Demo: Crawler Bot (L10D1)]

Video of Demo Crawler Bot



DeepMind Atari (©Two Minute Lectures)



Reinforcement Learning -- Overview

- Passive Reinforcement Learning (= how to learn from experiences)
 - Model-based Passive RL
 - Learn the MDP model from experiences, then solve the MDP
 - Model-free Passive RL
 - Forego learning the MDP model, directly learn V or Q:
 - Value learning learns value of a fixed policy; 2 approaches: Direct Evaluation & TD Learning
 - Q learning learns Q values of the optimal policy (uses a Q version of TD Learning)
- Active Reinforcement Learning (= agent also needs to decide how to collect experiences)
 - Key challenges:
 - How to efficiently explore?
 - How to trade off exploration <> exploitation
 - Applies to both model-based and model-free. we'll cover only in context of Q-learning



Model-Based Learning

Reinforcement Learning -- Overview

- Passive Reinforcement Learning (= how to learn from experiences)
 - Model-based Passive RL
 - Learn the MDP model from experiences, then solve the MDP
 - Model-free Passive RL
 - Forego learning the MDP model, directly learn V or Q:
 - Value learning learns value of a fixed policy; 2 approaches: Direct Evaluation & TD Learning
 - Q learning learns Q values of the optimal policy (uses a Q version of TD Learning)
- Active Reinforcement Learning (= agent also needs to decide how to collect experiences)
 - Key challenges:
 - How to efficiently explore?
 - How to trade off exploration <> exploitation
 - Applies to both model-based and model-free. we'll cover only in context of Q-learning

Model-Based Reinforcement Learning

- Model-Based Idea:
 - Learn an approximate model based on experiences
 - Solve for values as if the learned model were correct
- Step 1: Learn empirical MDP model
 - Count outcomes s' for each s, a
 - Normalize to give an estimate of $\hat{T}(s, a, s')$
 - Discover each $\widehat{R}(s, a, s')$ when we experience (s, a, s')
- Step 2: Solve the learned MDP
 - For example, use value iteration, as before





Example: Model-Based RL



Analogy: Expected Age

Goal: Compute expected age of students



Without P(A), instead collect samples $[a_1, a_2, ..., a_N]$



Model-Free Learning

1/

Ø

2

DOUGLE

OR NOTHING

Reinforcement Learning -- Overview

- Passive Reinforcement Learning (= how to learn from experiences)
 - Model-based Passive RL
 - Learn the MDP model from experiences, then solve the MDP
 - Model-free Passive RL
 - Forego learning the MDP model, directly learn V or Q:
 - Value learning learns value of a fixed policy; 2 approaches: Direct Evaluation & TD Learning
 - Q learning learns Q values of the optimal policy (uses a Q version of TD Learning)
- Active Reinforcement Learning (= agent also needs to decide how to collect experiences)
 - Key challenges:
 - How to efficiently explore?
 - How to trade off exploration <> exploitation
 - Applies to both model-based and model-free. we'll cover only in context of Q-learning

Passive Model-Free Reinforcement Learning

- Simplified task: policy evaluation
 - Input: a fixed policy $\pi(s)$
 - You don't know the transitions T(s,a,s')
 - You don't know the rewards R(s,a,s')
 - Goal: learn the state values
- In this case:
 - Learner is "along for the ride"
 - No choice about what actions to take
 - Just execute the policy and learn from experience
 - This is NOT offline planning! You actually take actions in the world



Direct Evaluation

- Goal: Compute values for each state under π
- Idea: Average together observed sample values
 - Act according to $\boldsymbol{\pi}$
 - Every time you visit a state, write down what the sum of discounted rewards turned out to be
 - Average those samples
- This is called direct evaluation



Example: Direct Evaluation



If B and E both go to C under this policy, how can their values be different?

Problems with Direct Evaluation

- What's good about direct evaluation?
 - It's easy to understand
 - It doesn't require any knowledge of T, R
 - It eventually computes the correct average values, using just sample transitions
- What bad about it?
 - It wastes information about state connections
 - Each state must be learned separately
 - So, it takes a long time to learn

Output Values



If B and E both go to C under this policy, how can their values be different?

Reinforcement Learning -- Overview

- Passive Reinforcement Learning (= how to learn from experiences)
 - Model-based Passive RL
 - Learn the MDP model from experiences, then solve the MDP
 - Model-free Passive RL
 - Forego learning the MDP model, directly learn V or Q:
 - Value learning learns value of a fixed policy; 2 approaches: Direct Evaluation & <u>TD Learning</u>
 - Q learning learns Q values of the optimal policy (uses a Q version of TD Learning)
- Active Reinforcement Learning (= agent also needs to decide how to collect experiences)
 - Key challenges:
 - How to efficiently explore?
 - How to trade off exploration <> exploitation
 - Applies to both model-based and model-free. we'll cover only in context of Q-learning

Why Not Use Policy Evaluation?

- Simplified Bellman updates calculate V for a fixed policy:
 - Each round, replace V with a one-step-look-ahead layer over V

 $V_0^{\pi}(s) = 0$

$$V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')]$$

- This approach fully exploited the connections between the states
- Unfortunately, we need T and R to do it!
- Key question: how can we do this update to V without knowing T and R?
 - In other words, how do we take a weighted average without knowing the weights?

π(s)

s, π(s)

π(s),s'

Sample-Based Policy Evaluation?

- We want to improve our estimate of V by computing these averages: $V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s, \pi(s), s') [R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V_k^{\pi}(s')]$
- Idea: Take samples of outcomes s' (by doing the action!) and average

$$sample_{1} = R(s, \pi(s), s_{1}') + \gamma V_{k}^{\pi}(s_{1}')$$

$$sample_{2} = R(s, \pi(s), s_{2}') + \gamma V_{k}^{\pi}(s_{2}')$$

$$\dots$$

$$sample_{n} = R(s, \pi(s), s_{n}') + \gamma V_{k}^{\pi}(s_{n}')$$

$$V_{k+1}^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} sample_{i}$$



Almost! But we can't rewind time to get sample after sample from state s

Temporal Difference Value Learning

- Big idea: learn from every experience!
 - Update V(s) each time we experience a transition (s, a, s', r)
 - Likely outcomes s' will contribute updates more often
- Temporal difference learning of values
 - Policy still fixed, still doing evaluation!
 - Move values toward value of whatever successor occurs: running average

Sample of V(s):
$$sample = R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')$$

Update to V(s):
$$V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha)V^{\pi}(s) + (\alpha)sample$$

Same update: $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow V^{\pi}(s) + \alpha(sample - V^{\pi}(s))$



Gradient Descent View

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(y - x)^2$$

 $\frac{df}{dx} = -(y - x)$

- Goal: find x that minimizes f(x)
- 1. Start with initial guess, x_0
- 2. Update x by taking a step in the direction that f(x) is changing fastest (in the negative direction) with respect to x:

 $x \leftarrow x - \alpha \nabla_x f$, where α is the step size or learning rate

- 3. Repeat until convergence
- TD goal: find value(s), V, that minimizes difference between sample(s) and V

$$V \leftarrow V - \alpha \nabla_V Error$$
 $Error(V) = \frac{1}{2} (sample - V)^2$
Gradient Descent View 2

- Big idea: learn from every experience!
 - Update V(s) each time we experience a transition (s, a, s', r)
 - Likely outcomes s' will contribute updates more often
- Temporal difference learning of values
 - Policy still fixed, still doing evaluation!
 - Move values toward value of whatever successor occurs: running average

Sample of V(s):sample = $r + \gamma V^{\pi}(s')$ Update to V(s): $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow (1 - \alpha) V^{\pi}(s) + (\alpha)$ sampleSame update: $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow V^{\pi}(s) + \alpha$ [sample - $V^{\pi}(s)$]Same update: $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow V^{\pi}(s) - \alpha \nabla Error$ Error = $\frac{1}{2} (sample - V_{37}^{\pi}(s))^2$



Exponential Moving Average

- Exponential moving average
 - The running interpolation update: $V_n = (1 \alpha)V_{n-1} + \alpha x_n$ with $V_1 = x_1$
 - Makes recent samples more important $V_n = \alpha x_n + \alpha (1 - \alpha) x_{n-1} + \dots + \alpha (1 - \alpha)^{n-2} x_2 + (1 - \alpha)^{n-1} x_1$
 - Forgets about the past (distant past values were wrong anyway)
- Decreasing learning rate (alpha) can give converging averages

• Note
$$V_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) \alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1} + \cdots + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1}) \cdot \cdots \cdot (1 - \alpha_3) \alpha_2 x_2 + (1 - \alpha_n)(1 - \alpha_{n-1}) \cdot \cdots \cdot (1 - \alpha_3)(1 - \alpha_2) x_1$$

Example: Temporal Difference Value Learning

Observed Transitions States C, east, D, -2 B, east, C, -2 Α 0 0 0 0 0 8 -1 -1 3 8 D 0 8 Ε 0 0 0 Assume: $\gamma = 1$, $\alpha = 1/2$

 $V^{\pi}(s) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)V^{\pi}(s) + \alpha \left[R(s, \pi(s), s') + \gamma V^{\pi}(s') \right]$

Problems with TD Value Learning

- TD value leaning is a model-free way to do policy evaluation, mimicking Bellman updates with running sample averages
- However, if we want to turn values into a (new) policy, we're sunk:

 $\pi(s) = \arg\max_{a} Q(s, a)$

$$Q(s,a) = \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') \left[R(s,a,s') + \gamma V(s') \right]$$

- Idea: learn Q-values, not values
- Makes action selection model-free too!



Reinforcement Learning -- Overview

- Passive Reinforcement Learning (= how to learn from experiences)
 - Model-based Passive RL
 - Learn the MDP model from experiences, then solve the MDP
 - Model-free Passive RL
 - Forego learning the MDP model, directly learn V or Q:
 - Value learning learns value of a fixed policy; 2 approaches: Direct Evaluation & TD Learning
 - Q learning learns Q values of the optimal policy (uses a Q version of TD Learning)
- Active Reinforcement Learning (= agent also needs to decide how to collect experiences)
 - Key challenges:
 - How to efficiently explore?
 - How to trade off exploration <> exploitation
 - Applies to both model-based and model-free. we'll cover only in context of Q-learning

Q-Value Iteration

- Value iteration: find successive (depth-limited) values
 - Start with $V_0(s) = 0$, which we know is right
 - Given V_k, calculate the depth k+1 values for all states:

$$V_{k+1}(s) \leftarrow \max_{a} \sum_{s'} T(s, a, s') \left[R(s, a, s') + \gamma V_k(s') \right]$$

- But Q-values are more useful, so compute them instead
 - Start with $Q_0(s,a) = 0$, which we know is right
 - Given Q_k, calculate the depth k+1 q-values for all q-states:

$$Q_{k+1}(s,a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') \left[R(s,a,s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s',a') \right]$$

Model-Free Learning

- Model-free (temporal difference) learning
 - Experience world through episodes

 $(s, a, r, s', a', r', s'', a'', r'', s'''' \dots)$

- Update estimates each transition $\left(s,a,r,s'
 ight)$
- Over time, updates will mimic Bellman updates



Q-Learning

- Q-Learning: sample-based Q-value iteration $Q_{k+1}(s,a) \leftarrow \sum_{s'} T(s,a,s') \left[R(s,a,s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q_k(s',a') \right]$
- Learn Q(s,a) values as you go
 - Receive a sample (s,a,s',r)
 - Consider your old estimate: Q(s, a)
 - Consider your new sample estimate: no longer policy $sample = R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')$ evaluation!
 - Incorporate the new estimate into a running average: $Q(s,a) \leftarrow (1-\alpha)Q(s,a) + (\alpha) [sample]$



[Demo: Q-learning – gridworld (L10D2)] [Demo: Q-learning – crawler (L10D3)]

Q-Learning Properties

- Amazing result: Q-learning converges to optimal policy -- even if you're acting suboptimally!
- This is called off-policy learning
- Caveats:
 - You have to explore enough
 - You have to eventually make the learning rate small enough
 - ... but not decrease it too quickly
 - Basically, in the limit, it doesn't matter how you select actions (!)



Video of Demo Q-Learning -- Gridworld



Video of Demo Q-Learning -- Crawler



Active Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement Learning -- Overview

- Passive Reinforcement Learning (= how to learn from experiences)
 - Model-based Passive RL
 - Learn the MDP model from experiences, then solve the MDP
 - Model-free Passive RL
 - Forego learning the MDP model, directly learn V or Q:
 - Value learning learns value of a fixed policy; 2 approaches: Direct Evaluation & TD Learning
 - Q learning learns Q values of the optimal policy (uses a Q version of TD Learning)
- Active Reinforcement Learning (= agent also needs to decide how to collect experiences)
 - Key challenges:
 - How to efficiently explore?
 - How to trade off exploration <> exploitation
 - Applies to both model-based and model-free. we'll cover only in context of Q-learning

Active Reinforcement Learning

- Full reinforcement learning: optimal policies (like value iteration)
 - You don't know the transitions T(s,a,s')
 - You don't know the rewards R(s,a,s')
 - You choose the actions now
 - Goal: learn the optimal policy / values
- In this case:
 - Learner makes choices!
 - Fundamental tradeoff: exploration vs. exploitation
 - This is NOT offline planning! You actually take actions in the world and find out what happens...



Exploration vs. Exploitation



Video of Demo Q-learning – Manual Exploration – Bridge Grid



How to Explore?

- Several schemes for forcing exploration
 - Simplest: random actions (ε-greedy)
 - Every time step, flip a coin
 - With (small) probability ε , act randomly
 - With (large) probability 1- ε , act on current policy
 - Problems with random actions?
 - You do eventually explore the space, but keep thrashing around once learning is done
 - One solution: lower ϵ over time
 - Another solution: exploration functions



[Demo: Q-learning – manual exploration – bridge grid (L10D5)] [Demo: Q-learning – epsilon-greedy -- crawler (L10D3)]

Video of Demo Q-learning – Epsilon-Greedy – Crawler



Exploration Functions

- When to explore?
 - Random actions: explore a fixed amount
 - Better idea: explore areas whose badness is not • (yet) established, eventually stop exploring
- Exploration function
 - Takes a value estimate u and a visit count n, and returns an optimistic utility, e.g. f(u, n) = u + k/n

- Regular Q-Update: $Q(s, a) \leftarrow_{\alpha} R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')$ Modified Q-Update: $Q(s, a) \leftarrow_{\alpha} R(s, a, s') + \gamma \max_{a'} f(Q(s', a'), N(s', a'))$
- Action selection: Use $a \leftarrow \operatorname{argmax}_a Q(s, a)$
- Note: this propagates the "bonus" back to states that lead to unknown states as well!

A commonly used 'exploration function' is $f(u,n) = u + c\sqrt{\log(1/\delta)/n}$, which is derived by Chernoff-Hoeffding inequality and δ is confidence level



[Demo: exploration – Q-learning – crawler – exploration function (L10D4)]

Video of Demo Q-learning – Exploration Function – Crawler



Regret

- Even if you learn the optimal policy, you still make mistakes along the way!
- Regret is a measure of your total mistake cost: the difference between your (expected) rewards, including youthful suboptimality, and optimal (expected) rewards
- Minimizing regret goes beyond learning to be optimal – it requires optimally learning to be optimal
- Example: random exploration and exploration functions both end up optimal, but random exploration has higher regret



Regret 2

• Cumulative regret, i.e., for episodic MDP with fixed horizon $R(T) = \sum_{t=1}^{T} (V^*(s_t) - V^{\pi_t}(s_t))$ where s_t is the starting state of the *t*-th interaction game

- The algorithm is learning if the average regret converges, i.e. $\frac{R(T)}{T} \rightarrow 0$, or equivalently R(T) = o(T)
- Smaller order of R(T) means faster learning speed
- Worst-case regret bound $R(T) = \Omega(\sqrt{T})$, which holds for a fixed game with arbitrary transitions and arbitrary (bounded) rewards

The Story So Far: MDPs and RL

Known MDP: Offline Solution

Goal	Technique
Compute V*, Q*, π*	Value / policy iteration
Evaluate a fixed policy π	Policy evaluation

Unknown MDP: Model-Based

Goal	Technique
Compute V*, Q*, π^*	VI/PI on approx. MDP
Evaluate a fixed policy π	PE on approx. MDP

Unknown MDP: Model-Free			
Goal	Technique		
Compute V*, Q*, π^*	Q-learning		
Evaluate a fixed policy π	Value Learning		

Reinforcement Learning -- Overview

- Passive Reinforcement Learning (= how to learn from experiences)
 - Model-based Passive RL
 - Learn the MDP model from experiences, then solve the MDP
 - Model-free Passive RL
 - Forego learning the MDP model, directly learn V or Q:
 - Value learning learns value of a fixed policy; 2 approaches: Direct Evaluation & TD Learning
 - Q learning learns Q values of the optimal policy (uses a Q version of TD Learning)
- Active Reinforcement Learning (= agent also needs to decide how to collect experiences)
 - Key challenges:
 - How to efficiently explore?
 - How to trade off exploration <> exploitation
 - Applies to both model-based and model-free. we'll cover only in context of Q-learning
- Approximate Reinforcement Learning (= to handle large state spaces)
 - Approximate Q-Learning
 - Policy Search

Generalizing Across States

- Basic Q-Learning keeps a table of all q-values
- In realistic situations, we cannot possibly learn about every single state!
 - Too many states to visit them all in training
 - Too many states to hold the q-tables in memory
- Instead, we want to generalize:
 - Learn about some small number of training states from experience
 - Generalize that experience to new, similar situations
 - This is a fundamental idea in machine learning, and we'll see it over and over again



Example: Pacman

Let's say we discover through experience that this state is bad:



In naïve q-learning, we know nothing about this state:



Or even this one!



[Demo: Q-learning – pacman – tiny – watch all (L11D4)] [Demo: Q-learning – pacman – tiny – silent train (L11D6)] [Demo: Q-learning – pacman – tricky – watch all (L11D5)]

Video of Demo Q-Learning Pacman – Tiny – Watch All



Video of Demo Q-Learning Pacman – Tiny – Silent Train



Video of Demo Q-Learning Pacman – Tricky – Watch All



Feature-Based Representations

- Solution: describe a state using a vector of features (properties)
 - Features are functions from states to real numbers (often 0/1) that capture important properties of the state
 - Example features:
 - Distance to closest ghost
 - Distance to closest dot
 - Number of ghosts
 - 1 / (dist to dot)²
 - Is Pacman in a tunnel? (0/1)
 - etc.
 - Is it the exact state on this slide?
 - Can also describe a q-state (s, a) with features (e.g. action moves closer to food)



Linear Value Functions

 Using a feature representation, we can write a q function (or value function) for any state using a few weights:

$$V(s) = w_1 f_1(s) + w_2 f_2(s) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s)$$

$$Q(s,a) = w_1 f_1(s,a) + w_2 f_2(s,a) + \ldots + w_n f_n(s,a)$$

- Advantage: our experience is summed up in a few powerful numbers
- Disadvantage: states may share features but actually be very different in value!

$$Error(w) = \frac{1}{2}(sample - Q(s, a))^{2}$$
Approximate Q-Learning
$$\frac{dError}{dw_{i}} = -(sample - Q(s, a))f_{i}(s, a)$$

$$Q(s, a) = w_{1}f_{1}(s, a) + w_{2}f_{2}(s, a) + \ldots + w_{n}f_{n}(s, a)$$

Exact Q's

Approximate Q's

- Q-learning with linear Q-functions: transition = (s, a, r, s')difference = $\left[r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a')\right] - Q(s, a)$ $Q(s, a) \leftarrow Q(s, a) + \alpha$ [difference] $w_i \leftarrow w_i + \alpha$ [difference] $f_i(s, a)$
- Intuitive interpretation:
 - Adjust weights of active features
 - E.g., if something unexpectedly bad happens, blame the features that were on: disprefer all states with that state's features
- Formal justification: online least squares



Example: Q-Pacman $Q(s,a) = 4.0f_{DOT}(s,a) - 1.0f_{GST}(s,a)$



Q(s, NORTH) = +1 $r + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s', a') = -500 + 0$ $Q(s', \cdot) = 0$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{difference} = -501 \\ w_{GST} \leftarrow -1.0 + \alpha \left[-501 \right] 0.5 \\ w_{GST} \leftarrow -1.0 + \alpha \left[-501 \right] 1.0 \\ \end{array}$$

 $Q(s,a) = 3.0 f_{DOT}(s,a) - 3.0 f_{GST}(s,a)$

[Demo: approximate Qlearning pacman (L11D8)]

Video of Demo Approximate Q-Learning --Pacman



DeepMind Atari (©Two Minute Lectures) approximate Q-learning with neural nets



Q-Learning and Least Squares


Linear Approximation: Regression





Prediction: $\hat{y} = w_0 + w_1 f_1(x)$ Prediction: $\hat{y}_i = w_0 + w_1 f_1(x) + w_2 f_2(x)$



Minimizing Error

 Imagine we had only one point x, with features f(x), target value y, and weights w:

$$\operatorname{error}(w) = \frac{1}{2} \left(y - \sum_{k} w_{k} f_{k}(x) \right)$$
$$\frac{\partial \operatorname{error}(w)}{\partial w_{m}} = - \left(y - \sum_{k} w_{k} f_{k}(x) \right) f_{m}(x)$$
$$w_{m} \leftarrow w_{m} + \alpha \left(y - \sum_{k} w_{k} f_{k}(x) \right) f_{m}(x)$$

• Approximate q update explained:



$$w_m \leftarrow w_m + \alpha \left[r + \gamma \max_a Q(s', a') - Q(s, a) \right] f_m(s, a)$$

"target" "prediction"



Recent Advancements: Deep Q-Networks

- Deep Mind, 2015
- Used a deep learning network to represent Q:
 - Input is last 4 images (84x84 pixel values) plus score
- 49 Atari games, incl. Breakout, Space Invaders, Seaquest, Enduro



Reinforcement Learning -- Overview

- Passive Reinforcement Learning (= how to learn from experiences)
 - Model-based Passive RL
 - Learn the MDP model from experiences, then solve the MDP
 - Model-free Passive RL
 - Forego learning the MDP model, directly learn V or Q:
 - Value learning learns value of a fixed policy; 2 approaches: Direct Evaluation & TD Learning
 - Q learning learns Q values of the optimal policy (uses a Q version of TD Learning)
- Active Reinforcement Learning (= agent also needs to decide how to collect experiences)
 - Key challenges:
 - How to efficiently explore?
 - How to trade off exploration <> exploitation
 - Applies to both model-based and model-free. we'll cover only in context of Q-learning
- Approximate Reinforcement Learning (= to handle large state spaces)
 - Approximate Q-Learning
 - Policy Search

Policy Search

- Problem: often the feature-based policies that work well (win games, maximize utilities) aren't the ones that approximate V / Q best
 - E.g. some value functions have probably horrible estimates of future rewards, but they still produced good decisions
 - Q-learning's priority: get Q-values close (modeling)
 - Action selection priority: get ordering of Q-values right (prediction)
 - We'll see this distinction between modeling and prediction again later in the course
- Solution: learn policies that maximize rewards, not the values that predict them
- Policy search: start with an ok solution (e.g. Q-learning) then fine-tune by hill climbing on feature weights



Policy Search 2

- Simplest policy search:
 - Start with an initial linear value function or Q-function
 - Nudge each feature weight up and down and see if your policy is better than before
- Problems:
 - How do we tell the policy got better?
 - Need to run many sample episodes!
 - If there are a lot of features, this can be impractical
- Better methods exploit lookahead structure, sample wisely, change multiple parameters...

MDPs and RL

Known MDP: Offline Solution

Goal	Technique
Compute V*, Q*, π^*	Value / policy iteration
Evaluate a fixed policy π	Policy evaluation

Unknown MDP: Model-Based

Goal	*use features to generalize	Technique
Compute V*,	Q *, π*	VI/PI on approx. MDP
Evaluate a fixed policy π		PE on approx. MDP

Unknown MDP: Model-Free

Goal	*use features to generalize	Technique	
Compute V	/*, Q*, π*	Q-learning	
Evaluate a fixed policy π		Value Learning	

https://shuaili8.github.io

- Passive Reinforcement Learning (= how to learn from experiences)
 - Model-based Passive RL
 - Model-free Passive RL
 - Direct Evaluation & TD Learning
 - Q learning
- Active Reinforcement Learning (= agent also needs to decide how to collect experiences)
 - Active Q-learning
 - Exploration vs Exploitation
- Approximate Reinforcement Learning (= to handle large state spaces)
 - Approximate Q-Learning
 - Policy Search

Questions?



Summary